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#### Abstract

A series of $\beta$-aminoamides bearing triazolopiperazines have been discovered as potent, selective, and orally active dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-4) inhibitors by extensive structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies around the triazolopiperazine moiety. Among these, compound 34b with excellent in vitro potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ 4.3 nM ) against DPP-4, high selectivity over other enzymes, and good pharmacokinetic profiles exhibited pronounced in vivo efficacy in an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) in lean mice. On the basis of these properties, compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ has been profiled in detail. Further refinement of the triazolopiperazines resulted in the discovery of a series of extremely potent compounds with subnanomolar activity against DPP-4 (42b-49b), that is, 4-fluorobenzyl-substituted compound 46b, which is notable for its superior potency $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.18 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. X-ray crystal structure determination of compounds $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 6 b}$ in complex with DPP-4 enzyme revealed that $(R)$-stereochemistry at the 8-position of triazolopiperazines is strongly preferred over $(S)$ with respect to DPP-4 inhibition.


## Introduction

Type 2 diabetes is a chronic disease characterized by elevated plasma glucose in the presence of high endogenous insulin levels, causing serious vascular complications, significant morbidity, and mortality. This metabolic disorder is a growing public health problem, affecting approximately 150 million people worldwide, and the prevalence of type 2 diabetes is expected to reach 220 million by $2010 .{ }^{1}$ Although current type 2 diabetes therapies that increase the concentration of circulating insulin have proven therapeutically beneficial, these often show undesirable side effects such as hypoglycemia and weight gain. ${ }^{2}$ Accordingly, there is a significant unmet medical need. Recently, inhibition of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP-4 ${ }^{a}$ ), a serine protease, has emerged as a new potential approach for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. ${ }^{3}$ DPP-4 inhibitors function as indirect stimulators of insulin secretion, and this effect is believed to be mediated primarily by enhancing the action of the incretin hormone glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1). ${ }^{4,5}$ This hormone is released in the gut in response to ingestion of food. GLP-1, in turn, stimulates insulin biosynthesis and
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## Chart 1


secretion, while inhibiting the release of glucagon. ${ }^{5}$ Because GLP-1 regulates insulin in a strictly glucose-dependent manner, GLP-1 therapy may pose little or no risk of hypoglycemia. Other beneficial effects of GLP-1 therapy include slowing gastric emptying ${ }^{6}$ and reduction of appetite. ${ }^{7}$ Furthermore, intriguing data suggesting a potential role in restoration of $\beta$-cell function in rodents indicate that this mechanism might actually slow or even reverse disease progression. ${ }^{8}$ GLP-1 is rapidly degraded in vivo through the action of DPP-4, which cleaves a dipeptide from the $N$-terminus to give the inactive GLP-1[9-36]amide. ${ }^{9}$ Consequently, inhibition of DPP-4 would increase the half-life of GLP-1 and prolong the beneficial effects of this incretin hormone. Sitagliptin, (2R)-4-oxo-4-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trif-luorophenyl)butan-2-amine (1), ${ }^{10}$ a DPP-4 inhibitor recently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), is a potent, selective, and orally active antidiabetic agent that has the potential to provide a new treatment option for patients with type 2 diabetes. Several other DPP-4 inhibitors are currently being evaluated in late stage clinical trials, including compounds 2 (LAF-237) ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ (BMS-477118; ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$

Chart 2


$5\left(R^{1}=H, F ; R^{2}, R^{3}=H, C H_{3}\right.$ $X=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}$ )

6a( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ )
6b ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ )

Chart 1). A recent report ${ }^{12}$ has highlighted the detailed structure-activity relationships (SARs) of compound 1 by using a variety of substituents $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ on the left phenyl and the right triazolopiperazine, respectively, of the structure 4 (Chart 2), which ultimately led to the discovery of compound 1. Subsequently, we became interested in the modifications of the $\beta$-aminoamide backbone for the further optimization of the $\beta$-aminoamide leads. Substitution of alkyl around the $\beta$-aminoamide backbone was found to be detrimental to potency. Alkyl substitution along with other modifications such as lengthening, shortening, or tethering were already proven to be ineffective in the corresponding thiazolidine ${ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ and the piperazine series ${ }^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$ (5 and 6; > 10fold less active, data not shown). Because the SAR trends of these series are generally in line with those of the triazolopiperazine series, as previously reported from these laboratories, ${ }^{13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ similar SAR studies were, therefore, not of interest for the triazolopiperazine series (7). Importantly, a significant increase in potency ( $>20$-fold) was previously observed with the incorporation of a benzyl substituent into the piperazine moiety as exemplified by $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathbf{6 b}\right.$, DPP-4 IC $_{50}$ $=139 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{6 a}$, DPP-4 $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3700 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{13 \mathrm{~b}}$ Previous SAR trends suggested that the incorporation of a benzyl might likewise increase the DPP-4 potency in the triazolopiperazine series. Thus, efforts focused on alkyl substitution around the triazolopiperazine moiety (5-, 6-, and 8-positions in 7, Chart 2) to provide a series of extremely potent DPP-4 inhibitors beyond sitagliptin. Herein, we describe the synthesis, SARs, and biological properties of a series of close analogues of compound 1.
Chemistry. The $\beta$-aminoacid derived DPP-4 inhibitors in this report were synthesized by standard peptide coupling of $\beta$-aminoacids with fused heterocycles as previously reported ${ }^{10 a, b}$ except that 1-hydroxy-7-azabenzotriazole (HOAT) and $O$-(7-azabenzotriazol-1-yl)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HATU) were employed in place of 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC) for the coupling reactions of $\beta$-aminoacids and heterocycles with $\alpha$-substituents. Initial synthetic efforts focused on the synthesis of triazolopiperazines bearing a methyl substituent or dimethyl substituents at the 5,6 , and 8 positions around the triazolopiperazine moiety. Two different approaches to the triazolopyrazine ring system are described in Schemes 1-3. The first approach started with commercially available pyrazines, for example, 2-chloro-6-methylpyrazine 8 (Scheme 1), which was converted to the corresponding hydrazinopyrazine intermediate. The treatment of the hydrazinopyrazine with trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA) followed by polyphosphoric acid (PPA)
gave 6-methyl-substituted triazolopyrazine 9 , using conditions similar to those reported earlier (Scheme 1). ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ Subsequent catalytic hydrogenation of fused heterocycle 9 proceeded smoothly to give the target triazolopiperazine intermediate 10. Coupling of triazolopiperazine $\mathbf{1 0}$ with the $\beta$-aminoacid 19 typically gave a mixture of two diastereomers, 11a and 11b, in a $1: 1$ ratio, as determined by analytical chiral HPLC. These $N$-Boc-protected isomers were easily separable by HPLC, using a preparative chiral OD column. Upon removal of the $N$-Boc-group of 11a and 11b, the desired compounds 12a and 12b, respectively, were obtained. For the introduction of an additional methyl substituent at the 8-position, heterocycle 10 was transformed into the $N$-Boc-protected triazolopiperazine 13, which was then alkylated with iodomethane via an N -Boc-assisted alkylation strategy ${ }^{14}$ and subsequently deprotected to give the desired 5,8-dimethyl triazolopiperazine 14. Coupling of triazolopiperazine 14 with the $\beta$-aminoacid $\mathbf{1 9}$ gave a mixture of four diastereomers $(\mathbf{1 5 a}-\mathbf{d})$ in a $\sim 1: 1: 1: 1$ ratio, as determined by analytical HPLC. These isomers were also easily separable by HPLC, using a preparative chiralCel OD column. Alternatively, two of the above four diasteromers, that is, 15b and $\mathbf{1 5 c}$, could be obtained using 3-chloro-2,5-dimethylpyrazine $\mathbf{1 7}$ as starting material. Catalytic hydrogenation of dimethyl-substituted triazolopyrazine $\mathbf{1 8}$ followed by coupling with acid $\mathbf{1 9}$ gave rise to only two isomers, which were identical with $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 c}$, as confirmed by chiral HPLC analysis. These isomers were tentatively assigned as cis-dimethyl diastereomers, with $5 S, 8 S$ and $5 R, 8 R$ stereochemistry, respectively, arising from the anticipated Pd -mediated cis-reduction of the pyrazine. In a second approach, oxadiazole intermediate $\mathbf{2 0}$, the preparation of which was reported earlier, ${ }^{15}$ was treated with a variety of substituted ethylenediamines (21, 24, and 27) to give a mixture of regioisomers with known stereochemistry (Scheme 2). For example, treatment of oxadiazole 20 with $(S)$-(-)-1,2-diaminopropane 21 in refluxing methanol followed by $N$-Boc-protection gave a mixture of two regioisomers, 22a and 22b with $(S)$-stereochemistry in $\sim 2.4: 1$ ratio, resulting from the preferred displacement of chlorine in oxadiazole 20 by the less-hindered nitrogen of the diamine as reported earlier. ${ }^{15}$ These regioisomers (22a and 22b) were easily separable by flash chromatography. Subsequent deprotection of 22a followed by coupling with the $\beta$-aminoacid 19 provided the desired regioisomer 12a. Oxadiazole 20 was likewise treated with $(R)-(+)$-1,2-diaminopropane 24 and converted to the corresponding regioisomers 25a and 25b with $(R)$-stereochemistry. Coupling products obtained from both major isomers 22a and 25a turned out to be identical with compound 11a and 11b, respectively, as indicated by chiral HPLC. Compound 28 with 5,5-gem-dimethyl groups was prepared in an exclusive manner by treatment of oxadiazole 20 with 1,2-diamino-methylpropane 27.

Alkylation at the 8 -position of the triazolopiperazine moiety was conveniently achieved by using an $N$-Boc-assisted alkylation strategy (Scheme 3). Deprotonation of the $N$-Boc compound 31, prepared by Boc protection of the previously reported triazolopiperazine $\mathbf{3 0},{ }^{10}$ with $n$-butyllithium followed by the treatment with iodomethane afforded the desired 8 -methyl-substituted compound 32. Deprotection of the $N$-Boc group of heterocycle 32 followed by coupling with $\beta$-aminoacid 19 provided a mixture of 33a and 33b, which were easily separable by chiral HPLC using a preparative chiralCel OD column as in the previous cases. Absolute stereochemistry of the methyl group at the 8-position of the

## Scheme $\mathbf{1}^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \sim 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.; (b) (i) $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, (ii) PPA, $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{H} 2,10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{THF}$; (d) 19, EDC, DMF, then chiral separation of 11a and 11b on ChiralCel OD column; (e) satd $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (f) ( Boc$)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (g) toluene, TMEDA, $n-\mathrm{BuLi},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$, then MeI; (h) 19, HOAT, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 18 h .

Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) DIPEA, MeOH , reflux; (b) $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then separation of isomers by flash chromatography; (c) satd $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) 19, HOAT , HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 18 h ; (e) (i) DIPEA, MeOH, rt, 2 h , (ii) superphosphoric acid, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) 19, EDC, DMF, rt, 18 h .

## Scheme $3^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) toluene, TMEDA, $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi},-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 10 min , then MeI; (c) satd $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) 19, HOAT, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, $\mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, then chiral separation of 33a and 33b on chiralCel OD column; (e) toluene, TMEDA, $n-\mathrm{BuLi},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$, then $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Br}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{CHO}$.
triazolopiperazine moiety in compound 34b was definitively assigned by X-ray crystal structure determination to be $(R)$. Repeated methylation with intermediate $\mathbf{3 2}$ provided 8,8 -gem-dimethyl-substituted compound 35. Similarly, a variety of alkyl- or benzyl-substituted compounds in Table 2 were prepared by the $N$-Boc-assisted alkylation strategy using
intermediate 31, except that ethyl analogues 38a and 38b were prepared using a procedure similar to that described for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$. Trapping of an anion intermediate from 31 with 4-fluorobenzaldehyde provided a mixture of diasteromers, which were converted to hydroxy compounds (47a-d). Absolute stereochemistry of the 4-fluo-

Table 1. Effects of Methyl Substituents around Triazolopiperazines on Inhibitory Properties ${ }^{a}$


| cmpd | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | DPP-4 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | QPP $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | DPP-8 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | DPP-9 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H | H | H | 18 | > 100000 | 48000 | > 100000 |
| 12a | $(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | 23 | > 100000 | 23000 | 23000 |
| 12b | (R) $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | 14 | > 100000 | 33000 | 53000 |
| 23 | H | $(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 91 | 74000 | > 100000 | 53000 |
| 26 | H | $\left(\right.$ R) $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 42 | > 100000 | 75000 | > 100000 |
| 34a | H | H | $(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 88 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 34b | H | H | (R) $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4.3 | > 100000 | 17000 | > 100000 |
| 29 | $d i-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | 92 | 77000 | 66000 | 54000 |
| 36 | H | H | $d i-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 175 | 23000 | 6000 | 20000 |
| 16a | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 100 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 16b | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 209 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 16c | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 12 | > 100000 | 70000 | 52000 |
| 16d | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 11 | > 100000 | 44000 | 62000 |

${ }^{a}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ results are an average of three independent titrations, unless otherwise noted, having calculated standard errors below $15 \%$.
Table 2. Inhibitory Properties of Alkyl-Substituted Triazolopiperazine Analogues ${ }^{a}$


| cmpd | R | DPP-4 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | QPP $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | DPP-8 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ | DPP-9 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38a | -Et | 113 | > 100000 | > 100000 | $>100000$ |
| 38b | -Et | 5.0 | 47000 | 8000 | > 100000 |
| 39a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 123 | 30000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 39b | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 5.7 | 60000 | 1600 | 26000 |
| 40a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.5 | 33000 | 3000 | 41000 |
| 40b | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 32 | 62000 | 72000 | > 100000 |
| 41a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 377 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 41b | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CON}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 2.8 | > 100000 | 30000 | > 100000 |
| 42a | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 140 | 87000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 42b | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 0.66 | 52000 | 622 | 24000 |
| 43a | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (4-methoxyphenyl) | 320 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 43b | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (4-methoxyphenyl) | 0.43 | 57000 | 367 | 18000 |
| 44a | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (2-trifluoromethylphenyl) | 438 | 83000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 44b | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (2-trifluoromethylphenyl) | 0.31 | 41000 | 8000 | > 100000 |
| 45a | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (2-fluorophenyl) | 131 | 76000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 45b | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (2-fluorophenyl) | 0.46 | 47000 | 1103 | 39000 |
| 46 a | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (4-fluorophenyl) | 116 | 39000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 46b | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (4-fluorophenyl) | 0.18 | 33000 | 332 | 20000 |
| 47a | - $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})(4$-fluorophenyl) | 430 | 48000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 47b | - $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})(4$-fluorophenyl) | 0.32 | 36000 | 326 | 89000 |
| 47c | - $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})$ (4-fluorophenyl) | 90 | > 100000 | 40000 | > 100000 |
| 47d | - $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH})$ (4-fluorophenyl) | 0.50 | 41000 | 628 | > 100000 |
| 48a | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (3,5-bis-trifluoromethylphenyl) | 587 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 48b | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (3,5-bis-trifluoromethylphenyl) | 6.3 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 49a | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (2-pyridyl) | 132 | > 100000 | > 100000 | > 100000 |
| 49b | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (2-pyridyl) | 0.40 | > 100000 | 5000 | $>100000$ |

${ }^{a}$ When two diasteromers with unknown stereochemistry at the 8-position were obtained, they were designated with letters "a" and "b" in the order of elution. Four diasteromers $(\mathbf{4 7 a}-\mathbf{d})$ with additional unknown stereochemistry of OH substituent were designated with letters " $a-d$ " in the order of elution. Based on the X-ray structure determination of $\mathbf{4 6 b}$, slower eluting isomers were tentatively assigned as $(R)$-isomers. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ results are an average of three independent titrations, unless otherwise noted, having calculated standard errors below $15 \%$.
robenzyl group at the 8-position of the triazolopiperazine in compound 46b was again assigned by X-ray structure of 46b in complex with DPP-4 to be $(R)$.

## Results and Discussion

Compounds 12a-49b were evaluated in vitro for their inhibition of DPP-4. ${ }^{16}$ The inhibitors were also tested against

DPP-4 homologues in the DPP-4 gene family, including DPP$8,{ }^{17}$ DPP-9, ${ }^{18}$ fibroblast activation protein (FAP, also called seprase), ${ }^{19}$ and other proline specific enzymes with DPP-4 like activity, including quiescent cell proline dipeptidase (QPP, also known as DPP-II and DPP-7), ${ }^{20}$ amino peptidase P, and prolidase. None of the compounds in this report showed any significant inhibition against these other enzymes ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{~S}>10000$
$\mathrm{nM})$. Because significant QPP off-target activity was often observed for the $\beta$-aminoacid derived DPP-4 inhibitors reported from these laboratories earlier, ${ }^{13}$ QPP data are presented for comparison. Safety studies using a DPP8/9 selective inhibitor suggest that inhibition of DPP8 and DPP9 is associated with profound toxicity in rats and dogs. ${ }^{21}$ Thus, selectivity profiles against DPP-8 and DPP-9 were also obtained for safety reasons.
In vitro inhibitory activities for the selected triazolopiperazinebased DPP-4 inhibitors are listed in Table 1. Pronounced SARs were evident in this series. 5-Methyl analogues (12a and 12b) were similar in potency to compound $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{DPP}-4 \mathrm{IC}_{50}=18 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. A slight preference for $(R)$ - stereochemistry over $(S)$ at the 5-position was observed (12a vs 12b). A stereochemical preference was more evident in 6-methyl analogues ( 23 vs 26 ) and even more so in 8 -methyl analogues (34a vs 34b). Notably, compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ with $(R)$-methyl at the 8 -position, which was 20 -fold more potent than its corresponding ( $S$ )-isomer, 34a, showed a 4-fold increase in potency over the parent compound 1. Introduction of gem-dimethyl groups at either the 5 - or the 8-position resulted in a significant decrease in the DPP-4 potency (29 and 36). Interestingly, two of the four 5,8-dimethyl analogues (16c and 16d) were slightly more potent than compound 1.

A potency enhancing effect of a substituent at the 8-position of triazolopiperazines was again observed with a variety of alkylor benzyl-substituted compounds, as shown in Table 2. The unequivocal stereochemical assignment can be made only to the pair of 46a and 46b based on the X-ray structure determination of 46b. When two diasteromers with unknown stereochemistry at the 8 -position were obtained, they were designated with letters "a" and " $b$ " in the order of elution. Four diasteromers (47a-d) with additional unknown stereochemistry of OH substituent were designated with letters " $a-d$ " in the order of elution. One carbon elongated analogues with an ethyl or trifluoroethyl substituent ( $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 9 b}$ ) showed similar potency to compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$, with increased DPP-8 activity (2-fold and 10 -fold, respectively). The markedly increased potency against both DPP-4 and DPP-8 was evident in allyl analogue $40 \mathrm{a}(1.5 \mathrm{nM}$ and 3000 nM , respectively). It was notable that an amide substituent restored the DPP-4 selectivity over DPP-8 (41b; DPP-4 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.8 \mathrm{nM}$; DPP-8 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=30000$ $\mathrm{nM})$. Incorporation of a benzyl, substituted benzyl, or a pyridyl group into the 8-position of triazolopiperazine moiety (42-49) resulted in a dramatic improvement of DPP-4 potency over compound 1, although submicromolar DPP-8 activities were often observed. In general, slower eluting isomers (41b-49b), tentatively assigned as $(R)$-isomers, with superior potency against DPP-4 were $>200$-fold more potent than their corresponding faster eluting isomers (41a-49a), except for compound 40 . The paramount effect of the substituent on the inhibition of DPP-4 was demonstrated in compound 46b with a $(R)$-4-fluorobenzyl substituent, which was $\sim 600$ - and 100 -fold more potent than its corresponding $(S)$-isomer, 46a, and compound $\mathbf{1}$, respectively. Compound 46b is the most potent, noncovalent DPP-4 inhibitor reported to date from these laboratories. While the DPP-8 activity (332 nM ) was unacceptable, DPP-4 selectivity over DPP-8 is still $>1000$-fold ( 0.18 nM vs 332 nM ).

Because inhibition of DPP-8 and DPP-9 is reported to be associated with significant toxicity, this issue had to be addressed by modifications on these extremely potent DPP-4 inhibitors. The effect of a hydrophilic group, for example, the - OH group, on the DPP-4 selectivity over DPP-8 was very small ( $<2$-fold), as observed with compounds $\mathbf{4 7 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 7 d}$ compared to that of

Table 3. Pharmacokinetic Profiles of Selected DPP-4 Inhibitors ${ }^{a}$

|  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{p}}$ <br> cmpd | species | $\mathrm{PO} \mathrm{AUC}_{\text {norm }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | rat | 60 | 1.7 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 76 |  |
| 12b | rat | 154 | 1.9 | 0.090 | 0.058 | 35 |  |
| 34b | rat | 54 | 2.4 | 0.422 | 0.133 | 57 |  |
|  | dog | 7.8 | 8.8 | 4.591 | 0.756 | 91 |  |
|  | monkey | 29 | 6.0 | 1.176 | 0.410 | 85 |  |
| 41b | rat | 87 | 2.7 | 0.034 | 0.004 | 9 |  |
| 16c | rat | 85 | 2.1 | 0.206 | 0.092 | 45 |  |
| 45b | rat | 74 | 1.4 | 0.116 | 0.064 | 26 |  |
| 46b | rat | 29 | 1.5 | 0.929 | 0.360 | 76 |  |
| 47b | rat | 63 | 2.6 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 8 |  |
| 48b | rat | 50 | 4.1 | 0.103 | 0.042 | 19 |  |
| 49b | rat | 94 | 1.9 | 0.032 | 0.016 | 9 |  |

${ }^{a}$ The reported data are an average generated after $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv and 2 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po doses in $n=2$ animals/dose, except for orally dosed rats where $n=3$. Dose solution was $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in ethanol/water $(5: 95, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ for both routes of administration.

46b. Surprisingly, DPP-8 activity was significantly reduced in both 44b and 48b by employing $2-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-phenyl and 3 ,5-bis- $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ phenyl group, respectively $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8000 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ and $>100000 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively), while maintaining superior DPP-4 potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $=0.31 \mathrm{nM}$ and 6.3 nM , respectively). A pyridyl heterocycle was also highly effective in lowering DPP-8 potency ( 5000 nM ), and compound 49b showed significantly increased DPP-4 selectivity over DPP-8.

Compounds with superior potency along with high DPP-4 selectivity were selected and further evaluated in pharmacokinetic studies (Table 3). While compound 12b is as potent as compound 1 against DPP-4, it showed decreased oral bioavailability ( $F=35 \%$ ) with very high clearance ( $154 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and poor systemic exposure after oral dosing. Unlike compound 12b, compound 34b exhibited good oral bioavailability in rats ( $F=57 \%$ ). In addition, compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ showed excellent oral bioavailability in dogs and monkeys ( $91 \%$ and $85 \%$, respectively). Clearance is relatively high in rats and monkeys (54 and $29 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$, respectively), but lower in dogs $(7.8 \mathrm{~mL} /$ $\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) as in the case of compound 1. It was notable that compound 34b showed similar pharmacokinetic profiles with significantly increased half-lives in dogs and monkeys (8.8 and 6.0 h , respectively) compared to that of compound $\mathbf{1}$ (4.9 and 3.7 h , respectively). While amide-substituted compound 41b showed poor oral bioavailability ( $F=9 \%$ ), compound 16c, incorporating methyl groups at both the 5- and 8-positions of the triazolopiperazine moiety, showed fair oral bioavailability in rats $(F=45 \%)$ with higher clearance ( $85 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and lower oral exposure compared to that of compound 34b. Compounds 45b with 2-fluorobenzyl and 46b with 4-fluorobenzyl exhibited a pronounced difference in oral bioavailability in the rat ( $26 \%$ and $76 \%$, respectively). Notably, oral bioavailability of compound 46b in Zucker fa/fa rats was excellent ( $F=100 \%$ ) but poor in mice ( $F=6 \%$ ). Hydroxy compound 47b exhibited poor oral bioavailability in rats ( $F=8 \%$ ) with high clearance ( $63 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and poor oral exposure. Both compounds with high DPP-4 selectively over DPP8, 48b with bis- $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and 49b with a pyridyl group, showed poor oral bioavailability in the rat, 19 and $9 \%$, respectively.

Compound 34b showed high selectivity ( $>1000$-fold) for DPP-4 over the other proline specific peptidases. Further profiling in an extensive panel of receptor and ion channel binding and enzyme inhibition assays showed no significant activity (data not shown).

X-ray crystal structure determination for two potent compounds, 34b and 46b (Figures 1 and 2, respectively), in complex with DPP-4 enzyme revealed that the absolute stereochemistry


Figure 1. Compound 34b bound to DPP-4.


Figure 2. Compound 46b bound to DPP-4.
at the 8-position of the triazolopiperazine in the more potent diastereomer is $(R)$. As in the case of compound $\mathbf{1}$, the $2,4,5-$ trifluorophenyl moiety fully occupies the S 1 hydrophobic pocket, and the $(R)$ - $\beta$-amino group forms four hydrogen bonding interactions with the side chains of a tyrosine (Tyr662) and two glutamate residues (Glu205 and Glu206). A water molecule bridges the carboxylic oxygen and the hydroxyl of Tyr547. Several other water-mediated interactions are also present between the nitrogen atoms of the triazolopiperazine and protein atoms. The triazolopiperazine is stacked against the side chain of Phe357. The trifluoromethyl substituent interacts with the side chains of $\operatorname{Arg} 358$ and Ser209. In compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$, the $(R)$ methyl group at the 8 -position of the triazolopiperazine points toward a relatively open area of the DPP-4 binding site and provides further surface complementarity to the side chain of Phe357, thus, contributing to the about 4-fold enhancement of potency observed for $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ in comparison to compound $\mathbf{1}$ (Table 1). The structure also explains the decrease in potency observed
with compound $\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{a}$ having the opposite stereochemistry at the methyl center $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=88 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, because the $(S)$-methyl group would be within clashing distance from Phe357. In compound 46b, the $(R)-4$-fluorobenzyl-group extends toward the same open area and interacts with the pocket formed by the side chains of Tyr547 and Arg125 (Figure 2), suggesting that relatively large groups are well tolerated at this position, as exemplified by compound $\mathbf{4 8 b}$ with a bis-3,5- $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-benzyl group. The superior DPP-4 potency of compound $\mathbf{4 6 b}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.18 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ may be attributed to the additional water molecule-bridged hydrogen bonding interaction between 4-fluorophenyl and Ser630.

Based on its excellent in vitro potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic profile, compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ was chosen for the assessment of its ability to improve glucose tolerance in lean mice. ${ }^{10 a}$ Results on an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and corresponding pharmacodynamic (PD) studies in lean mice are shown in Figure 3. Administration of single oral doses significantly reduced the dextrose-induced blood glucose excursion in a dose-dependent manner from $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ( $34 \%$ reduction) to $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ( $55 \%$ reduction) when administered 60 min before an oral dextrose challenge ( $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$; Figure 3 a ). In a separate OGTT experiment, the PD profile of compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ was determined. Plasma DPP-4 inhibition, compound concentration, and active GLP-1 levels were measured 20 min after dextrose challenge (Figure 3). Maximal efficacy was observed at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, corresponding to a plasma concentration of approximately 190 nM and $>80 \%$ inhibition of plasma DPP-4 activity. ${ }^{22}$ The inhibition of DPP-4, achieved with compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, was comparable to the inhibition observed with compound 1 at $3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Maximal efficacy at a $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose resulted in a 3 -fold increase in active GLP-1, analogous to what is observed upon glucose challenge in DPP-4-deficient mice ${ }^{23}$ and observed with compound 1 at $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. These results were in agreement with the improved DPP-4 activity of $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ compared to compound 1 in mice $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=11 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ vs 69 nM$)$. Acute lowering of blood glucose was also demonstrated in diet-induced obese (DIO) mice, which are hyperglycemic and hyperinsulinemic and show impaired glucose tolerance in response to a dextrose challenge consistent with the insulin resistance observed in type 2 diabetes mellitus (Figure 4). Near normalization of the glucose excursion relative to lean controls was seen following a $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ oral dose of compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$.

## Conclusions

A series of $\beta$-aminoamides bearing triazolopiperazines have been prepared and evaluated as potent, selective, and orally active DPP-4 inhibitors. It was demonstrated that $\beta$-aminoacids in conjunction with triazolopiperazines with the appropriate substitution provide extremely potent DPP-4 inhibitors showing high selectivity over other related enzymes, good pharmacokinetic profiles, and high in vivo efficacy in an OGTT in lean mice. It was also demonstrated that $(R)$-stereochemistry at the 8 -position of the triazolopiperazine moiety is strongly preferred over $(S)$, with respect to DPP-4 inhibition, as confirmed by X-ray crystal structure determination for the potent compounds $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ and 46b in complex with DPP-4 enzyme. Fine tuning of relative inhibitory properties against DPP-4 and DPP-8 by variations of substituents $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}\right.$, and $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ ) around the triazolopiperazine moiety provided a series of potent DPP-4 inhibitors suitable for further studies. Among three substituents, $\mathrm{R}^{3}$, with $(R)$ stereochemistry at the 8-position, was of critical importance for the superior potency and more effective than $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ at the 5-position. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ at the 6-position was least effective. Compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ $=4.3 \mathrm{nM}$ ), showing a 4-fold increase in DPP-4 activity over


Figure 3. Effects of compound 34b on (a) glucose AUC, (b) DPP-4 inhibition, and (c) GLP-1 levels after an oral glucose tolerance test in lean C57BL/6N male mice. Compound 34b was administered 60 min prior to an oral dextrose challenge ( $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Plasma samples were collected for analysis 20 min postdextrose administration. Data are represented as mean $\pm \mathrm{SEM}$ ( $n=7$ group).


Figure 4. (a) Effect of compound 34b on glucose levels after an oral glucose tolerance test in diet-induced obese (DIO) C57BL/6N mice. Compound 34b or water (vehicle) was administered 60 min prior to an oral dextrose challenge ( $5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Control animals received water only. (b) The glucose AUC was determined from 0 to 120 min . Percent inhibition values for each treatment were generated from the AUC data normalized to the dextrose-challenged lean controls. Data are represented as mean $\pm \operatorname{SEM}(n=7)$.
compound 1, exhibited pronounced in vivo efficacy in the lean mice OGTT. With excellent DPP-4 potency, high selectivity, good pharmacokinetic profile, and in vivo efficacy in hand, we further profiled compound 34b. Unfortunately, in studies in
anesthetized dogs to assess cardiovascular activity, compound 34b showed unacceptable dose-dependent prolongation of QRS and QTc intervals in the ECG, which precluded further development of compound $\mathbf{3 4 b}$.

## Experimental Section

General. All commercial chemicals and solvents are reagent grade and were used without further purification, unless otherwise specified. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian InNova 500 MHz instrument in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ solutions. Low-resolution mass spectra (MS) were determined on a Micromass Platform Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer (LC-MS), using a Waters Xterrra MSC18 $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 50 \times$ 3.0 mm column with a binary solvent system, where solvent A $=$ water, $0.05 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (by volume) and solvent B $=$ acetonitrile, $0.05 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (by volume). The LC method used a flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, with the following gradient: $t=0 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$ solvent $\mathrm{A} ; t=3.75 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \%$ solvent $\mathrm{A} ; t=4.75 \mathrm{~min}, 2 \%$ solvent $\mathrm{A} ; t=4.76 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$ solvent A ; $t=5.5 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$ solvent A. High-resolution mass spectra were acquired from a Micromass Q-TOF quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometer. All MS experiments were performed using electrospray ionization (EI) in positive ion mode. Leucine enkephalin was applied as a lock-mass reference for accurate mass analysis. The above-described LC-MS HPLC method serves as a gross analysis of purity over a broad range, and all final compounds show a single peak ( $>95 \%$ purity) using this analytical method. Purity of key target compounds was determined using Waters SunFireC18 $4.6 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column $5 \mu$, with a binary solvent system, where solvent $\mathrm{A}=$ water, $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (by volume) and solvent $\mathrm{B}=$ acetonitrile, $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (by volume). The LC method used a flow rate $=4.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, with the following gradient: $t=0$ $\mathrm{min}, 90 \%$ solvent $\mathrm{A} ; t=4 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$ solvent $\mathrm{B} ; \lambda 214 \mathrm{~nm}$. The final purity using these methods is noted with the analytical data for each compound. The $N$-Boc-protected compounds as a mixture of diastereomers were separated by HPLC using

ChiralCel OD, ChiralPak AD, or ChiralCel OJ column and $5 \sim 15 \%$ ethanol/hexane or $5 \sim 10 \%$ isopropanol/heptane as solvents.

5-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (9). To 2-chloro-6-methylpyrazine ( $2.925 \mathrm{~g}, 22.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added hydrazine hydrate ( 15 mL ) at rt . The flask was immersed in a preheated oil bath $\left(\sim 52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and then heated up to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 min (cloudy heterogeneous mixture became a clear, bright yellow solution). After being cooled to rt , the flask was kept in a refrigerator for 1 h . The white needle-shaped solid was filtered, washed with cold hydrazine hydrate, and dried to give the desired 2-hydrazino-6-methylpyrazine $(1.460 \mathrm{~g})$. The filtrate was kept in a refrigerator for 2 h and 0.869 g of a second crop of crystals was collected ( $82 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 9.16$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z 124.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. To the above intermediate ( $2.320 \mathrm{~g}, 18.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added 50 mL of trifluoroacetic anhydride dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (highly exothermic!). After being stirred at rt for 1 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated to give a viscous material. To the above material was added $\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ of polyphosphoric acid (PPA) and the reaction was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The hot PPA solution was added to ice and neutralized by the addition of ammonium hydroxide (highly exothermic!). The aqueous solution was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times)$, washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concentration followed by flash chromatography (silica gel, 1:1 hexanes/ ethyl acetate, then $100 \%$ ethyl acetate) afforded the title compound as a solid $\left(1.421 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ $m / z 203.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1,2,4-tria-zolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (10). 5-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a] pyrazine $9(720 \mathrm{mg}, 3.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ was hydrogenated under a hydrogen atmosphere (balloon) with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ $(400 \mathrm{mg})$ as a catalyst in an ethanol/THF mixture $(10 \mathrm{~mL} / 5$ mL ) at rt for 18 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (silica gel, $100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$, then $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) gave 729 mg of the title compound as a colorless viscous oil ( $99 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4$, $14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5,13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. MS m/z $207.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
tert-Butyl[(1R)-3-[(5S)-5-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-yl]-3-oxo-1-(2,4,5trifluorobenzyl)propyl]carbamate (11a) and tert-Butyl[(1R)-3-[(5R)-5-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5, 6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a]$ pyrazine-7(8H)-yl]-3-oxo-1-(2,4,5trifluorobenzyl)propyl]carbamate (11b). Method A. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $281 \mathrm{mg}, 1.364 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\beta$-aminoacid $\mathbf{1 9}$ (454 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.364 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added EDC (313.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.639 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After being stirred at rt for 18 h , DMF was evaporated to give a viscous residue, which was partitioned between EtOAc and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concentration gave a $1: 1$ mixture of compound 11a and compound 11b ( 481.5 mg ). Separation of a 100 mg portion of the mixture using chiral HPLC (chiral OD column) afforded compound 11a ( 34.7 mg ) and compound 11b $(39.5 \mathrm{mg})$ as solid ( $50 \%$ yield). 11a (faster eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.00 \sim 7.15(\mathrm{~m})$, $6.90 \sim 6.95(\mathrm{~m}), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30 \sim 5.40(\mathrm{~m}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.70 \sim 4.72(\mathrm{~m}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3), 4.00 \sim 4.25(\mathrm{~m}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1), 2.80 \sim 3.05(\mathrm{~m}), 2.60 \sim 2.75(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41$ (s), 1.38 (s). MS $m / z 522.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. 11b (slower eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.05 \sim 7.15(\mathrm{~m}), 6.90 \sim 6.95(\mathrm{~m})$, $5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 17.2 ), $4.65 \sim 4.86(\mathrm{~m}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10 \sim 4.40(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27 \sim 3.30(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.60 \sim 3.10(\mathrm{~m}), 1.45 \sim 1.60(\mathrm{~m}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z 522.1$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Method B. For 11a, to a solution of 22a ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added saturated methanolic HCl solution $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at room temperature for 1 h , the solution was concentrated to give a deprotected product as a white solid ( $39.0 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ yield): LC/MS m/e 206.9 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. To the above solid ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.148 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\beta$-aminoacid 19 ( $49.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.148 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added DIPEA ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOAT $(24.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178$ mmol ), and HATU ( $67.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) sequentially at rt . After being stirred at rt for $18 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{DMF}$ was evaporated to give a viscous residue, which was partitioned between EtOAc and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concentration followed by purification by preparative TLC (100\% EtOAc) afforded only compound $11 \mathrm{a}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield) as a foamy solid, which was identical to the compound 11a separated from the mixture of diastereomers as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, LC/ MS, and HPLC. For 11b, starting with 25a, the same procedures were followed as in the above synthesis of 11a.
(2R)-4-[(5S)-5-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (12a). To a solution of $N$-Boc-protected compound 11a ( $29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added saturated methanolic HCl solution $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at rt for 1 h , the solution was concentrated to give the title compound $(26.1 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ yield) as a white foamy solid. $100 \%$ purity by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.51\right.$ min). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ $7.35 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.75 \sim 4.90(\mathrm{~m}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55$ (d, $J=17.9$ ), $4.10 \sim 4.20(\mathrm{~m}), 3.85 \sim 3.96(\mathrm{~m}), 3.81$ (br d), 3.12 $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92 \sim 2.97(\mathrm{~m}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $422.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 422.1415$; found, 422.1427.
(2R)-4-[(5R)-5-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine (12b). Following the same procedure for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 2 a}$, the title compound was prepared as a white foamy solid. $100 \%$ purity by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=\right.$ 1.52 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.35 \sim 7.50(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 5.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.27$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.80 \sim 4.90(\mathrm{~m}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.51$ (d, $J=17.8$ ), 4.14 (br d), $3.90 \sim 4.00(\mathrm{~m}), 3.78$ (br d), $2.80 \sim 3.20$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 422.1$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ $m / e, 422.1415$; found, 422.1411 .
tert-Butyl-5-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (13). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 0}(1.031 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.091 \mathrm{~g}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Concentration followed by flash chromatography $(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{E}=1: 1)$ gave the title compound (1.355
$\mathrm{g}, 89 \%$ yield) as a white, foamy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.25 \sim 5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20 \sim 4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25 \sim 3.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 307.0$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
5,8-Dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro[1,2,4]-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (14). Method A. To a solution of 13 ( $1.009 \mathrm{~g}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 14 mL ) was added $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine ( $547 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.60 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The brown colored solution was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . After the addition of neat iodomethane (226 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 10 min and then warmed to rt . After being stirred at rt for 2 h , the reaction was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times)$, washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concentration followed by separation by preparative TLC $(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{E}=1: 1)$ afforded the methylated product as a solid (52.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 5 \%$ yield): MS $m / z 321.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Following the same procedures as in the synthesis of 12a, the above methylated product ( $51.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to the title compound as a foamy solid ( $45.5 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture) $\delta 4.95 \sim 5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53 \sim 3.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.68 \sim 1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 220.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Method B. Starting with 17, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 0}$.
tert-Butyl[(1R)-3-[5,8-dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a]$ pyrazine-7(8H)-yl]-3-oxo-1-(2,4,5trifluorobenzyl)propyl]carbamate ( $15 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ). Method A. To a solution of $\mathbf{1 4}(35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a $\beta$-aminoacid 19 $(45.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1.0 mL ) were added DIPEA ( $28.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOAT ( $22.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HATU ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) sequentially at rt . After being stirred at rt for 18 h , DMF was evaporated to give a viscous residue, which was partitioned between EtOAc and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concentration followed by purification by preparative TLC gave a mixture of four diastereomers ( 43 mg ) as a solid. Separation of the mixture using chiral HPLC (chiral OD column) afforded compound 15a (first eluting: 4.1 mg ), compound $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ (second eluting: 3.6 mg ), $\mathbf{1 5 c}$ (third eluting: 3.8 mg ), and compound $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ (fourth eluting: $3.5 \mathrm{mg} ; 21 \%$ yield). For $\mathbf{1 5 a},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.05 \sim 7.15(\mathrm{~m}), 6.85 \sim 6.95(\mathrm{~m}), 6.06$ (q), $5.30 \sim 5.55(\mathrm{~m}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60 \sim 4.70(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.00 \sim 4.20(\mathrm{~m}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2$, 14.2 Hz ), $2.90 \sim 3.10(\mathrm{~m}), 2.80 \sim 2.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}), 2.56 \sim 2.65(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 558.0(\mathrm{M}$ +Na ); for $\mathbf{1 5 b},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.05 \sim 7.16(\mathrm{~m}), 6.82-6.98(\mathrm{~m}), 5.92-6.12(\mathrm{~m})$, $5.23-5.48(\mathrm{~m}), 4.84-5.04(\mathrm{~m}), 4.35-4.56(\mathrm{~m}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3)$, $3.37-3.58(\mathrm{~m}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,12.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66$ (d, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 536.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; for $\mathbf{1 5 c},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ $7.91(\mathrm{q}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91(\mathrm{q}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.96-6.12(\mathrm{~m})$, $5.29-5.49(\mathrm{~m}), 4.88-5.05(\mathrm{~m}), 4.35-4.46(\mathrm{~m}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.34-3.55(\mathrm{~m}), 2.93-3.04(\mathrm{~m}), 2.64-2.80(\mathrm{~m}), 1.62-1.78$ $(\mathrm{m})$, $1.40(\mathrm{~s})$; MS m/z $536.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; for 15d, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.05 \sim 7.15(\mathrm{~m}), 6.85 \sim 6.95$
(m), $6.06(\mathrm{q}), 5.30 \sim 5.45(\mathrm{~m}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60 \sim 4.70$ (m), 4.10~4.25 (m), $3.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0$, 14.6 Hz ), 3.24 (dd, $J=3.2,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.90~3.10 (m), 2.70~2.85 (m), $2.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.64(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41$ (s), $1.40(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 558.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$.

Method B for the Synthesis of 15b and 15c. Starting with 14 derived from 17, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 5 a} \mathbf{- d}$. Only two isomers obtained using Method B for the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ were identical with the compounds $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 c}$, respectively, as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, LC/MS, and HPLC.
(2R)-4-[5,8-Dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluo-rophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathrm{HCl}(16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d})$. Compounds $16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ were prepared following the same procedure for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 2 a} \mathbf{- b}$. For 16a, $100 \%$ purity by HPLC $\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.60 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.35 \sim 7.42$ (m), 7.20~7.30 (m), $5.89(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85 \sim 3.95(\mathrm{~m}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0$, $14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05 \sim 3.16(\mathrm{~m}), 3.03$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.41$ (m); MS m/z $436.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 436.1572; found, 436.1585. For 16b, $100 \%$ purity by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.58 \mathrm{~min}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.38$ (dd, $J=8.6,17.3$ Hz ), $7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.81-5.89(\mathrm{~m}), 5.39-5.56$ (m), 4.51-4.62 (m), 4.43-4.50 (m), $4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50-3.72(\mathrm{~m}), 3.31-3.32(\mathrm{~m}), 3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.80-2.91(\mathrm{~m}), 1.50-1.76(\mathrm{~m}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 436.1572; found, 436.1576 . For $\mathbf{1 6 c}, 100 \%$ purity by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.56$ $\min ) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ $7.34-7.46$ (m), 7.22 (dd, $J=9.9,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.86-5.96$ (m), $5.40-5.48(\mathrm{~m}), 4.60-4.70(\mathrm{~m}), 4.34-4.46(\mathrm{~m}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.88-3.96(\mathrm{~m}), 3.52-3.65(\mathrm{~m}), 3.04-3.16(\mathrm{~m}), 2.94-3.02$ (m), 1.55-1.73 (m); MS m/z $436.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 436.1572; found, 436.1585. For $\mathbf{1 6 d}, 100 \%$ purity by HPLC $\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.60 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $7.30 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m})$, $7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 5.76(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.75 \sim 4.80(\mathrm{~m}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90 \sim 4.00(\mathrm{~m}), 3.81$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05 \sim 3.20$ (m), 2.99 (dd, $J=3.2,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70 \sim 2.85(\mathrm{~m}), 1.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.37(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 436.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 436.1572; found, 436.1577.

5,8-Dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (18). Starting with 3-chloro-2,5-dimethylpyrazine, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of $\mathbf{9}$. For $18,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z 217.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
tert-Butyl-(5S)-5-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (22a) and tert-Butyl-(6S)-6-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (22b). To 20 (3.11 $\mathrm{g}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol})^{15}$ and $(S)-(-)$-1,2-diaminopropane $21(2.47 \mathrm{~g}$, 16.8 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIPEA ( 8.78 mL , 50.4 mmol ) at rt . The solution was stirred at rt for 4 h and then refluxed for 18 h . After concentration, the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concen-
tration gave a viscous oil ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ yield): MS $m / z 206.9$ $(\mathrm{M}+1)$. To the above crude oil ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The solution was stirred at rt for 1 h . Concentration followed by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{E}=4: 1$ ) gave 22a $(103 \mathrm{mg})$ and 22b ( 243 mg ; 22\% yield). For 22a, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $5.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90 \sim 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $307.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. For 22b, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $5.20 \sim 5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20 \sim 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25 \sim 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 307.0$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(2R)-4-[(6S)-6-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,-2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluo-rophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (23). Starting with 22b, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of 12a. Purity $(100 \%)$ by HPLC $\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.50 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.35 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 5.64$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30 \sim 5.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.70 \sim 4.90(\mathrm{~m}), 4.10 \sim 4.50(\mathrm{~m}), 3.85 \sim 4.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.90 \sim 3.20$ (m), 2.75~2.85 (m), $1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz})$; MS $m / z 422.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 422.1415; found, 422.1422 .
tert-Butyl-(5R)-5-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (25a) and tert-Butyl-(6R)-6-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (25b). Starting with $20(1.433 \mathrm{~g}, 7.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)-(+)$-1,2-diaminopropane $24(1.139 \mathrm{~g}, 7.75 \mathrm{mmol})$, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of 22a and 22b. For 25a, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.20 \sim 5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10 \sim 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.20 \sim 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 307.0$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; for 25b, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90 \sim 5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 307.0(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H}){ }^{+}$
(2R)-4-[(6R)-6-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,-2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluo-rophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (26). Starting with 25b, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of 12a. Purity ( $95 \%$ ) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.48 \mathrm{~min}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.35 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 5.56$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30 \sim 5.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.65 \sim 4.90(\mathrm{~m}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20 \sim 4.40(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.90 \sim 4.00$ (br s), $3.00 \sim 3.15(\mathrm{~m}), 2.75 \sim 2.95(\mathrm{~m}), 1.31$ (d, $J=$ $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS $m / z 422.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 422.1415; found, 422.1415.

5,5-Dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine (28). To $20(2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 11.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $27(1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DIPEA $(2.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and at rt for 2 h . After filtering off a white solid, the filtrate was concentrated to give a viscous oil, which was dissolved in superphosphoric acid ( 20 mL ). After being stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h , the mixture was poured into ice, then the mixture was adjusted to basic pH with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times)$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concentration followed by flash chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}=80: 15: 1$ ) gave the title
compound ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 220.9$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(2R)-4-[5,5-Dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,-2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluo-rophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (29). Starting with 28, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of 12a. 94.9\% purity by HPLC $\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.63 \mathrm{~min}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.36 \sim 7.46(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.90 \sim 5.15$ (m), 3.80~4.00 (m), 2.85~3.20 (m), 1.67 (s), 1.66 (s), 1.64 (s), $1.60(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 436.1572; found, 436.1567.
tert-Butyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo-[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (31). To a solution of 30 $(20.11 \mathrm{~g}, 88.01 \mathrm{mmol})^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(19.21 \mathrm{~g}, 88.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The solution was stirred at rt for 4 h . Concentration followed by flash chromatography $(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{E}=1: 1)$ gave the title compound $(23.24 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield) as a white foamy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS m/z $293(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
tert-Butyl-8-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (32). To a solution of $31(1.026 \mathrm{~g}, 3.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine ( $557 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.60 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $2.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The brown colored solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . After the addition of neat iodomethane ( $230 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 3.69 mmol ) to the above solution at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 10 min , and then warmed to rt over 1 h . The reaction was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times)$, washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Concentration followed by separation by flash chromatography $(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{E}=4: 1$, then $1: 1$ ) afforded the title compound as a foamy solid $(0.752 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.56 \sim 5.65$ (br s, 1 H ), $4.45 \sim 4.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.06 $(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.64$ $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 307.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
tert-Butyl[(1R)-3-[(8S)-8-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-yl]-3-oxo-1-(2,4,5trifluorobenzyl)propyl]carbamate (33a) and tert-Butyl[(1R)-3-[(8R)-8-methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5, 6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-yl]-3-oxo-1-(2,4,5trifluorobenzyl)propyl]carbamate (33b). Compounds 33a and 33b were prepared essentially following the same procedure for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 5 a}, \mathbf{b}$. For $\mathbf{3 3 a}$ (faster eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.00 \sim 7.15(\mathrm{~m})$, $6.80 \sim 7.00(\mathrm{~m}), 6.12(\mathrm{~m}), 5.20 \sim 5.50(\mathrm{~m}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.00 \sim 4.35(\mathrm{~m}), 3.69(\mathrm{brt}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.21$ (br t, $J=11.7$ Hz ), $2.90 \sim 3.10(\mathrm{~m}), 2.70 \sim 2.90(\mathrm{~m}), 2.58 \sim 2.70(\mathrm{~m}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z 522.1(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$. For 33b (slower eluting): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.05 \sim 7.15(\mathrm{~m}), 6.90 \sim 7.00(\mathrm{~m}), 6.11$ (m), $5.20 \sim 5.50(\mathrm{~m}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00 \sim 4.35(\mathrm{~m})$, 3.67 (br t, $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.22 (br t, $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.80 \sim 3.05$ (m), $2.60 \sim 2.80(\mathrm{~m}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}) . \mathrm{MS} m / z 522.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(2R)-4-[(8S)-8-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,-2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluo-rophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (34a). Following the same procedure for the synthesis of 12a, the title compound was prepared as a white foamy solid. Purity (97\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=$ 1.46 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers)
$\delta 7.35 \sim 7.42(\mathrm{~m}), 7.18 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 5.93(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.47$ (m), $4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.08(\mathrm{~m}), 3.85 \sim 3.95$ (br s), $3.70 \sim 3.80(\mathrm{~m}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.80 \sim 3.05(\mathrm{~m})$, $1.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 422.1(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 422.1415,; found, 422.1421 .
(2R)-4-[(8R)-8-Methyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,-2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluo-rophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (34b). Following the same procedure for the synthesis of 12a, the title compound was prepared as a white foamy solid. Purity $(100 \%)$ by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=\right.$ 1.46 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.35 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 5.97(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48$ (m), 4.15~4.40 (m), 3.90~4.05 (m), 3.70~3.80 (m), 3.30~3.40 $(\mathrm{m}), 2.90 \sim 3.20(\mathrm{~m}), 2.75 \sim 2.82(\mathrm{~m}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS m/z $422.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 422.1415; found, 422.1411 .
tert-Butyl-8,8-dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro-1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazine-7(8H)-carboxylate (35). Following the same procedure for the synthesis of 32, the title compound was prepared as a white solid ( $47 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.16(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; MS m/z $321.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(2R)-4-[8,8-Dimethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,-2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluo-rophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (36). Starting with 35, the same procedures were followed as in the synthesis of 12a. Purity $(100 \%)$ by HPLC $\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.58 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.30 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.34$ (br s), 3.86 (br s), $3.05 \sim 3.15$ (m), 2.99 (dd, $J=3.9,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436.1$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ $m / e, 436.1572$; found, 436.1564.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 37. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 1}$ in toluene was added $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine followed by $n-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.60 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in hexanes) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The brown colored solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . After the addition of neat bromide $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right)$ or aldehyde $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$ to the above solution at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 10 min , and then warmed to rt over 1 h . The reaction was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times)$, washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After purification by flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate), the $N$-Bocprotected compounds were used for the next step.
(2R)-4-[8-Ethyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]tri-azolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophe-nyl)butan-2-amine, trifluoroacetate (38a and 38b). Compounds $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ were prepared from 2-chloro-3-ethylpyrazine by a procedure similar to that described for the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$. To a solution of the corresponding intermediate triazolopiperazine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3 mL ) were added $\beta$-aminoacid 19 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HATU ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOAT ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DIPEA $(109 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resultant mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h and partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was washed with water $(3 \times)$, brine $(1 \times)$, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After filtration and concentration in vacuo, the product was purified by preparative TLC $(H / E=1: 1)$. Diastereomers were separated on a ChiralCel OJ column using 10\% ethanol/hexanes as eluent. Treatment of each of the N -Boc-protected diastereomers with a 1:1 mixture of trifluoracetic acid and dichlormethane followed by concentration provided the corresponding trifluoroacetate
salts. For 38a, $98.2 \%$ purity by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.53 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.33 \sim 7.38$ (m), $7.22 \sim 7.29(\mathrm{~m}), 5.89(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.23(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.32 \sim 4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18 \sim 4.25(\mathrm{~m}), 4.09(\mathrm{~m}), 3.87 \sim 3.91(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.75 \sim 3.81(\mathrm{~m}), 3.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82-3.07(\mathrm{~m}), 1.95 \sim 2.12$ (m), 1.1 (t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). $1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 436.1572; found, 436.1583 . For 38b, $100 \%$ purity by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}$ $=1.54 \mathrm{~min}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.35 \sim 7.40(\mathrm{~m}), 7.23 \sim 7.29(\mathrm{~m}), 5.90(\mathrm{q}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.23$ (q, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11 \sim 4.39(\mathrm{~m}), 3.91 \sim 4.04(\mathrm{~m}), 3.75 \sim 3.81$ (m), 3.01~3.19 (m), 2.69~2.85 (m), 1.93~2.13 (m), $1.15(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 436.1572; found, 436.1577.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 39a-49b. To a solution of N -Boc-protected compound $\mathbf{3 7}$ in MeOH was added saturated methanolic HCl solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at rt for 1 h , the solution was concentrated to give a white foamy solid. To the above compound and a $\beta$-aminoacid 19 in DMF were added DIPEA, HOAT, and HATU sequentially at rt. After being stirred at rt for 18 h , DMF was evaporated to give a viscous residue, which was partitioned between EtOAc and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Preliminary purification of a mixture of diastereomers by either preparative TLC or flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate) was followed by further separation of diastereomers by chiral HPLC using chiral columns (ChiralCel OD, ChiralPak AD, or ChiralCel OJ column, 5~10\% ethanol/hexane or 5~15\% isopropanol/heptane). Treatment of each of the $N$-Boc-protected diastereomers with a methanolic HCl solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by concentration provided the corresponding compounds $(\mathbf{3 9 a}-49 b)$ as HCl salts.
(2R)-4-[8-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a]$ pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (39a). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.67 \mathrm{~min}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.35 \sim 7.40(\mathrm{~m}), 7.15 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.9,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.90 \sim 5.95(\mathrm{~m}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.8 \sim 5.0(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right), 4.20 \sim 4.40$ (m), 4.10~4.20 (m), $3.70 \sim 3.90(\mathrm{~m}), 3.35 \sim 3.45(\mathrm{~m}), 2.70 \sim 3.15(\mathrm{~m})$; MS m/z 490.0 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ $m / e, 490.1289$; found, 490.1290 .
(2R)-4-[8-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a]$ pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (39b). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.67 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.30 \sim 7.42(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.9,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.8 \sim 5.0$ ( m , overlapped with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ), 4.64 (dd, $J=4.4,13.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.45 \sim 4.51(\mathrm{~m}), 4.15 \sim 4.40(\mathrm{~m}), 3.70 \sim 4.10(\mathrm{~m}), 3.50 \sim 3.65$ (m), 3.38~3.45 (m), 2.40~3.30 (m), 2.61~2.67 (m); MS m/z $490.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 490.1289; found, 490.1295 .
(2R)-4-[8-Allyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,2,4]tri-azolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophe-nyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (40a). Purity ( $100 \%$ ) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=$ 1.60 min ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.30 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.84 \sim 6.01(\mathrm{~m}), 5.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.02 \sim 5.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.61(\mathrm{~s})$, $4.17 \sim 4.39(\mathrm{~m}), 4.02(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.4,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86 \sim 3.95(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.76 \sim 3.86(\mathrm{~m}), 3.30 \sim 3.45$ (overlapped with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ), 2.65~3.15
(m); MS m/z $448.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 448.1572; found, 448.1580.
(2R)-4-[8-Allyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[[1,2,4]tri-azolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophe-nyl)butan-2-amine, HCI (40b). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=$ $1.60 \mathrm{~min}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.30 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.87 \sim 5.96(\mathrm{~m}), 5.74 \sim 5.82(\mathrm{~m}), 5.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60$ (s), $4.30 \sim 4.40(\mathrm{~m}), 4.18 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.6,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.75 \sim 3.92(\mathrm{~m}), 3.37 \sim 3.45(\mathrm{~m}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98 \sim 3.05$ (m), 2.72~2.89 (m); MS m/z $448.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 448.1572$; found, 448.1573.

2-[7-[(3R)-3-Amino-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butanoyl]-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro[[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]py-razin-8-yl]- $N, N$-dimethylacetamide, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (41a). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.45 \mathrm{~min}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.30 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.15 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.13(\mathrm{~m}), 5.87$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.58(\mathrm{~s}), 4.15 \sim 4.40(\mathrm{~m}), 3.90 \sim 4.15(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.80 \sim 3.90(\mathrm{~m}), 3.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.9,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40 \sim 3.50(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.70 \sim 3.20(\mathrm{~m})$; MS m/z $493.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} \mathrm{m} / e$, 493.1787; found, 493.1790.

2-[7-[(3R)-3-Amino-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butanoyl]- 3-(tri-fluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro[[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-8-yl]- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylacetamide, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (41b). Purity ( $100 \%$ ) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.44 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.30 \sim 7.40(\mathrm{~m}), 7.15 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.84 \sim 5.86(\mathrm{~m}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}), 4.10 \sim 4.42(\mathrm{~m}), 3.95 \sim 4.05(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.80 \sim 3.90(\mathrm{~m}), 3.20 \sim 3.50(\mathrm{~m}), 2.70 \sim 3.15(\mathrm{~m})$; MS m/z 493.1 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;$HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ $m / e$, 493.1787; found, 493.1784.
(2R)-4-[8-Benzyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]tri-azolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophe-nyl)butan-2-amine, HCI (42a). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=$ 1.72 min ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.12-7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44-5.54(\mathrm{~m})$, $5.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07-4.17$ (m), 3.76-3.78 (m), 3.54-3.60 (m), 3.36-3.46 (m), 2.91-2.96 (m), 2.67-2.75 (m), 2.56-2.61 (m), $2.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,17.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 498.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 498.1729; found, 498.1708.
(2R)-4-[8-Benzyl-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo $[4,3-a$ ]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophe-nyl)butan-2-amine, HCl (42b). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=$ $1.80 \mathrm{~min}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.10-7.48(\mathrm{~m}), 6.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.46-5.54(\mathrm{~m})$, $5.16-5.20(\mathrm{~m}), 4.10-4.36(\mathrm{~m}), 3.84-4.04(\mathrm{~m}), 3.48-3.74(\mathrm{~m})$, 2.61-3.01 (m); MS m/z $498.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 498.1729$; found, 498.1715 .
(2R)-4-[8-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a]$ pyrazin- $7(8 \mathrm{H})$-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (43a). Purity ( $98.5 \%$ ) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.82 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.14-7.26(\mathrm{~m}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.14-6.18(\mathrm{~m}), 5.42-5.50(\mathrm{~m}), 5.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7$, $14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.36-4.40(\mathrm{~m}), 4.07-4.18(\mathrm{~m}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.51-3.58(\mathrm{~m}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71-2.77(\mathrm{~m}), 2.47-2.60$ $(\mathrm{m}), 1.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 528.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 528.1834; found, 528.1832.
(2R)-4-[8-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-
trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (43b). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.82 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.21-7.36(\mathrm{~m}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=5.3,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20-4.36(\mathrm{~m}), 4.10-4.18$ (m), 3.74-4.04 (dt, $J=4.4,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.68-3.78 (m), 3.58-3.66 (m), 3.46-3.55 (m), 3.28-3.34 (m), 2.93-3.02 (m), 2.70-2.92 (m), 2.58-2.66 (m), $1.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ MS m/z 528.0 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;$HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ $m / e, 528.1834$; found, 528.1827.
(2R)-4-Oxo-4-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-8-[2-(trifluoromethyl)-benzyl]-5,6-dihydro [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (44a). Purity $(100 \%)$ by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.99 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55-7.64(\mathrm{~m})$, $7.38-7.48(\mathrm{~m}), 7.11-7.28(\mathrm{~m}), 6.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20-4.43$ (m), 4.10-4.14 (m), 3.93-4.09 (m), 3.21-3.72 (m), 2.67-2.79 (m), 2.58-2.63(m), 2.43-2.49(m); MS m/z $566.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 566.1602$; found, 566.1597.
(2R)-4-Oxo-4-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-8-[2-(trifluoromethyl)-benzyl]-5,6-dihydro [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, HCl (44b). Purity $(100 \%)$ by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=2.00 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52-7.68$ (m), $7.34-7.46(\mathrm{~m}), 7.12-7.32(\mathrm{~m}), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38$ (m), 4.35-4.42 (m), 4.24-4.28 (m), 4.12-4.18 (m), 3.88-4.02 (m), 3.51-3.65 (m), 2.91-2.98 (m), 2.81-2.90 (m), 2.75 (d, $J$ $=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55-2.65(\mathrm{~m}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 566.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 566.1602$; found, 566.1596.
(2R)-4-[8-(2-Fluorobenzyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (45a). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.82 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.20 \sim 7.35(\mathrm{~m}), 7.00 \sim 7.15(\mathrm{~m}), 6.26(\mathrm{~m}), 5.53$ (dd, $J=3.6,10.5), 4.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.2,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.70 \sim 3.80(\mathrm{~m}), 3.30 \sim 3.60(\mathrm{~m}), 2.85 \sim 3.00(\mathrm{~m}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.6,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55 \sim 2.75(\mathrm{~m}), 1.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS $m / z 515.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 516.1635$; found, 516.1616.
(2R)-4-[8-(2-Fluorobenzyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a]$ pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (45b). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.84 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.15 \sim 7.40(\mathrm{~m}), 6.95 \sim 7.10(\mathrm{~m}), 6.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1$, $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.54(\mathrm{~m}), 4.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33 \sim 4.36(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.15 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 4.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.1,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70 \sim 3.80(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.60 \sim 3.70(\mathrm{~m}), 3.40 \sim 3.50(\mathrm{~m}), 3.30 \sim 3.26(\mathrm{~m}), 2.70 \sim 3.00,1.62$ (dd, $J=8.0,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); MS m/z $515.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 516.1635$; found, 516.1640.
(2R)-4-[(8S)-8-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro [[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (46a). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.84 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.10 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.95 \sim 7.05(\mathrm{~m}), 6.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ Hz ), 5.49 (br m), 5.01 (dd, $J=3.9,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.37 \sim 4.40$ (m), $4.05 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 3.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 3.40 \sim 3.60(\mathrm{~m}), 3.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5$, $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70 \sim 2.85(\mathrm{~m}), 2.55 \sim 2.70(\mathrm{~m})$,
$1.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 515.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 516.1635$; found, 516.1629.
(2R)-4-[(8R)-8-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6dihydro[ $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a $]$ pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (46b). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.86 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.15 \sim 7.36(\mathrm{~m}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.80 \sim 4.95 \mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ), $4.25 \sim 4.35$ (m), $4.10 \sim 4.25(\mathrm{~m}), 4.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.1,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55 \sim 3.80(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.20 \sim 3.40\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, overlapped with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ), 2.70~3.05 (m), 1.64 $(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z 515.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;$HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$m/e, 516.1635; found, 516.1620.

2-[7-[(3R)-3-Amino-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butanoyl]-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro[[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]py-razin-8-yl](4-fluorophenyl)methanol, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (47a). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.67 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5$, $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.60 \sim 4.75(\mathrm{~m}), 4.35 \sim 4.50(\mathrm{~m}), 4.05 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 3.80 \sim 3.95(\mathrm{~m})$, $3.65 \sim 3.75(\mathrm{~m}), 3.50 \sim 3.55(\mathrm{~m}), 2.80 \sim 3.00(\mathrm{~m}), 2.65 \sim 2.75(\mathrm{~m})$, $2.50 \sim 2.55(\mathrm{~m}), 1.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; MS $m / z 532.1$ (M $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} \mathrm{m} / e$, 532.1583; found, 532.1570.

2-[7-[(3R)-3-Amino-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butanoyl]-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro[[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]py-razin-8-yl](4-fluorophenyl)methanol, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (47b). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.69 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.65(\mathrm{brt}), 7.40 \sim 7.55(\mathrm{~m}), 7.15 \sim 7.35(\mathrm{~m}), 6.99$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}), 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.45$ (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.39 (s), $5.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}), 4.60 \sim 4.70(\mathrm{~m}), 4.30 \sim 4.50$ (m), 4.15~4.30 (m), 3.50~4.05 (m), 2.65~3.00 (m), 1.70~1.80; MS $m / z 532.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 532.1583$; found, 532.1569.

2-[7-[(3R)-3-Amino-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butanoyl]-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro[[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]py-razin-8-yl](4-fluorophenyl)methanol, HCl (47c). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.73 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.62(\mathrm{~m}), 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.22(\mathrm{~s}), 4.81(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=3.9,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60 \sim 4.70(\mathrm{~m}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.90 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m}), 3.60 \sim 3.90(\mathrm{~m}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70 \sim 3.04$ (m), 2.60~2.70 (t); MS m/z $532.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$, 532.1583; found, 532.1571.

2-[7-[(3R)-3-Amino-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butanoyl]-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro[[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]py-razin-8-yl](4-fluorophenyl)methanol, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (47d). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.73 \mathrm{~min}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.30 \sim 7.45(\mathrm{~m}), 7.20 \sim 7.30(\mathrm{~m}), 7.00 \sim 7.10(\mathrm{~m})$, $6.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}), 5.46(\mathrm{~s}), 5.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20 \sim 4.30(\mathrm{~m})$, $4.05 \sim 4.20(\mathrm{~m}), 3.80 \sim 3.90(\mathrm{~m}), 3.95 \sim 3.10(\mathrm{~m}), 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 532.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e$, 532.1583; found, 532.1574 .
[1,2,4]Triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-tri-fluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (48a). Purity (100\%) by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=2.40 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $7.87-7.94(\mathrm{~m}), 7.16-7.28(\mathrm{~m}), 6.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$

Hz ), 5.65 (br s), 4.13-4.40 (m), 3.77-3.83 (m), 3.43-3.67 (m), 2.88-3.02 (m), 2.68-2.86 (m); MS $m / z 634.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 634.1476$; found, 634.1490.
(2R)-4-[8-[3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)benzyl]-3-(trifluorometh-yl)-5,6-dihydro [1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-4-oxo-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, HCl (48b). Purity $(100 \%)$ by $\operatorname{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{r}}=2.23 \mathrm{~min}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) 7.82-7.97 (m), 7.23-7.28 (m), 4.06-4.85 (m), 3.52-3.85 (m), 2.74-3.18 (m); MS m/z $634.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 634.1476$; found, 634.1468.
(2R)-4-Oxo-4-[8-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (49a). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.26 \mathrm{~min}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 8.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.62(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.5,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32-7.37(\mathrm{~m})$, 7.19-7.25 (m), $6.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35-4.44(\mathrm{~m}), 4.27(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=4.4,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91-3.97(\mathrm{~m}), 3.75-3.82(\mathrm{~m}), 2.93-3.03(\mathrm{~m})$; MS m/z $499.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} m / e, 499.1681$; found, 499.1677.
(2R)-4-Oxo-4-[8-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-5,6-dihydro[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7(8H)-yl]-1-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-2-amine, $\mathbf{H C l}$ (49b). Purity (100\%) by HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{r}}=1.26 \mathrm{~min}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 8.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33-7.38(\mathrm{~m})$, $7.22-7.28(\mathrm{~m}), 6.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,12.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.9,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.90-3.97 (m), 3.70-3.82 (m), 2.89-3.09 (m); MS m/z 499.1 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ $m / e, 499.1681$; found, 499.1670.
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